Nov. 6, 2014 PDAP meeting

Agenda item 6: October 14 Design-Build Public Hearing Comments
US 29/Rio Road GSI

- **Does Design Address Future Congestion?**
  - Yes - 87
  - No - 25
  - No Comment – 21
- **Should Architectural Treatments Be Included On Walls and Bridge?**
  - Yes - 97
  - No - 11
  - No Comment – 25
- **Does Design Provide Adequate Pedestrian Facilities?**
  - Yes - 148
  - No - 39
  - No Comment – 25
Route 29 Widening

• Does Design Improve Safety along Route 29?
  • Yes - 44
  • No - 5
  • No Comment – 49

• Do You Support A Paved Multi-Use Trail On The East Side Of Route 29?
  • Yes - 47
  • No - 2
  • No Comment – 47
Berkmar Drive Extension

- **Does Design Provide An Adequate Alternative For Local Traffic?**
  - Yes - 51
  - No - 6
  - No Comment – 47
- **Does Design Provide An Adequate Alternative For Through Traffic?**
  - Yes - 19
  - No - 11
  - No Comment – 74
- **How Will The Road Affect Your Daily Travels?**
  - Positively - 48
  - Negatively - 1
  - No Comment – 53
Communication

• How Would You Like To Be Informed About Activities That May Affect Your Travels During Construction?
  • Newspaper – 58
  • Website - 8
  • TV/Radio – 13
  • VDOT Signs – 7
  • Social Media – 11
  • Direct Mail – 3
  • Email – 27
  • Other - 4

• How Did You Hear About This Meeting?
  • Newspaper – 53
  • Website - 26
  • TV/Radio – 29
  • VDOT Signs – 2
  • Social Media – 25
  • Direct Mail – 15
  • Email – 113
  • Other - 80